

REMARKS

In the November 16, 2004 Office Action, the Examiner rejected independent claim 1 and independent claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. '183 patent in view of the Watanabe '741 patent. However, the Examiner indicated that claims 2-13 that depend from claim 1, and claims 15-20, that depend from claim 14, would be allowance if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As indicated above, independent claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 2. Claim 2 has been cancelled.


Similarly, independent claim 14 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 15. Claim 15 has been cancelled.

In view of the above, it is believed that all claims now present in this application are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP

Dated: May 13, 2005

By: 
Michael J. Pollock (Reg. No. 29,098)

Attorneys for Applicant(s)